



BOARD OF BARBERING AND COSMETOLOGY
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
P.O. BOX 944226
SACRAMENTO, CA 94244-2260

INFORMATION: (916) 574-7570 FAX: (916) 575-7281 INTERNET: www.barbercosmo.ca.gov



Board of Barbering and Cosmetology
2420 Del Paso Road, Suite 100
Sequoia Conference Room
Sacramento, California 95834

MEETING OF THE BOARD OF BARBERING AND COSMETOLOGY

MINUTES OF OCTOBER 4, 2006

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT VIA TELEPHONE:

Jerry Tyler, President
Joe Gonzalez
Angela Reddock
Jerri Ann Walters

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
Richard Hedges, Vice President
Frank Lloyd

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:

Kristy Underwood, Executive Officer
Denise Johnson, Assistant Executive Office

BOARD MEMBERS NOT PRESENT
Dr. Della Condon
Bonnie LaChappa
Marlene Gadinis

1. Agenda Item #1, CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL

Mr. Tyler called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m. The board members and staff introduced themselves.

2. Agenda Item #2, CONSIDERATION OF MODIFIED REGULATORY LANGUAGE FOR CLEANING AND DISINFECTING FOOT SPAS

Mr. Gonzalez made the motion to accept the modified regulatory language for cleaning and disinfecting foot spas; it was seconded by Mr. Lloyd.

Public Comment

Dr. William Berger, Ph.D. Sensuous Solutions, expressed his concern about the language regarding the requirement for the disinfectant to be a liquid. He believed there are other disinfectants that are equally effective in other forms, i.e., powder, tablet. He felt this should not be limited to liquid. He was concerned about the water that lay dormant after the cleaning. Ms. Reddock asked if this was discussed by the task force. Mr. Hedges confirmed it was; he believed liquid would dissolve quicker. Mr. Burger explained the powder, as measured appropriately, should dissolve immediately. Mr. Duke recommended the powder/tablet could be mixed with water and then used to satisfy the requirement. Mr. Gonzalez stated the regulation should not hurt the manufacturers of the powders/tablets; Ms. Reddock stated the concern of the board should not be to keep manufacturers in business, but to protect the consumer.

Ms. Underwood reminded everyone after approval the language will go through the regulatory process and public comment can be received and commented on. This is just the beginning of the process. However, Mr. Duke clarified as the approved language is going forward as an emergency regulation, it will become law immediately upon filing.

Dr. Berger then suggested language be included that each chair/spa should be disinfected "after each use" and not at the end of the day. Mr. Hedges pointed out this language is already included. Mr. Tyler assured everyone that extreme care and due diligence was taken in drafting the language. The language reflects best practices.

Ajay Sachdeva, Sani-Care Salon Products, Inc., noted there will still be disinfectant in the pipes and jets after cleaning that may affect the consumer. It was noted the language states "to follow the EPA recommendations on the label." Mr. Sachdeva noted there are disinfecting products (that he promotes) the EPA recognizes for the spa industry that are in powder/tablet form. His products are designed to be safe for human contact and can be disinfecting at the time of service.

James Casteel, Amerispa, LCC, was part of the working taskforce. He confirmed the working group looked at every aspect of different products on the market. The reason the liquid disinfectant was recommended was it is readily available with many manufacturers. He noted the disinfectant is to be used to clean the equipment, not the water.

Fred Jones, Professional Beauty Federation of California (PBFC), was also a participant in the working group. He agreed the focus needs to be cleaning the equipment, not the water. He noted these issues were thoroughly looked at by the task force. Mr. Hedges noted many of the representatives from the industry on the task force balked at the extra cleaning because of extra time and additional work it takes. Mr. Gonzalez felt there were new issues being brought up at this time that have not been discussed previously. He reiterated powder/tablets should be included in the language.

Jim Jacobs, Supervising Inspector, commented on liquid versus powder. He reiterated powder and tablets were discussed by the task force, and the Department of Health Services was the agency that convinced the task force to specify liquid. Their reasoning was powder would probably not be used because it took too long. Mr. Jacobs disagreed with adding the powder while a customer's feet are in the spa. Allergic reactions have occurred in the past.

The question was called, and the motion to approve the modified regulatory language for cleaning and disinfecting foot spas, was approved by a 5-1 (Gonzalez) roll call vote.

3. Agenda Item #3, PUBLIC COMMENT

There was no more public comment at this time.

4. Agenda Item #4, ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 11:30 a.m.